

RATE OF CONVERGENCE FOR PREDICTIVE DISTRIBUTIONS OF EXCHANGEABLE INDICATORS

PATRIZIA BERTI, IRENE CRIMALDI, LUCA PRATELLI, AND PIETRO RIGO

ABSTRACT. Let (X_n) be an exchangeable sequence of indicators and π the probability distribution of $\limsup_n \bar{X}_n$, where $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then,

$$C_n = \sqrt{n} \{ \bar{X}_n - E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) \}$$

converges stably (in particular, in distribution) provided π does not have a singular continuous part. Moreover, $C_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ in case π is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, and $\sqrt{n} C_n$ converges a.s. under a mild Lipschitz condition on the density of π . Results of this type are useful in Bayesian statistics where π is the prior distribution. Related results are also obtained for the case where the X_n take values in an arbitrary measurable space.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATIONS

A number of real problems reduce to predict the next outcome for a sequence of events, that is, to evaluate

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = P(X_{n+1} = 1 | X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

where X_1, X_2, \dots are the indicators of such events.

Here, we focus on those situations where $E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ can not be calculated in closed form, and one decides to estimate it basing on the available data X_1, \dots, X_n . Related references are [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [11].

In case (X_n) is an *exchangeable* sequence, as assumed throughout, a reasonable approximation for $E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is the observed frequency

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

In line with de Finetti [9], the choice of \bar{X}_n can be defended as follows. Suppose (Z_n) is an exchangeable sequence of random variables, with values in a Polish space S , and \mathcal{D} a class of Borel subsets of S . Then,

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{Z_i \in B\}} - P(Z_{n+1} \in B | Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \right| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 \quad (1)$$

provided \mathcal{D} is a Glivenko-Cantelli class in the i.i.d. case (that is, provided (1) holds in the particular case where (Z_n) is i.i.d.); see [4]. Roughly speaking, thus, the empirical distribution $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}$ is a consistent estimate of the *predictive distribution* $P(Z_{n+1} \in \cdot | Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ for exchangeable data.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G09, 60B10, 60A10, 62F15.

Key words and phrases. Bayesian predictive inference – Central limit theorem – Empirical distribution – Exchangeability – Predictive distribution – Stable convergence.

Taking (1) as a starting point, the next step is to investigate the rate of convergence. If $S = \{0, 1\}$ and $Z_n = X_n$, this means to investigate whether

$$C(a_n) = a_n \{ \bar{X}_n - E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) \}$$

approaches a limit (in some sense) for suitable constants $a_n > 0$.

This is just the purpose of this paper. Letting $V = \limsup_n \bar{X}_n$ and $W(a_n) = a_n(\bar{X}_n - V)$, exchangeability of (X_n) yields

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E(V | X_1, \dots, X_n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence, $C(a_n) = E(W(a_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ a.s.. Also, $\sup_n E|W(\sqrt{n})|^r < \infty$ for all $r > 0$, as it is not hard to prove (see the proof of Theorem 2). If $\frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (E|C(a_n)|^r)^{\frac{1+r}{r}} &\leq E|C(a_n)|^{1+r} \leq E|W(a_n)|^{1+r} \\ &\leq \sup_m E|W(\sqrt{m})|^{1+r} \left(\frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{1+r} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

And what about $a_n = \sqrt{n}$? The answer to this (natural) question depends on the law π of V .

Our main result (Theorems 2 and 4) is that $E|C(\sqrt{n})|^r \rightarrow 0$, for all $r > 0$, whenever π is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. One consequence is

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \bar{X}_n + o_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \quad (2)$$

Under a mild Lipschitz condition on the density of π , one also obtains

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \bar{X}_n + \frac{D}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2^*)$$

for some real random variable D . In addition, if π does not have a singular continuous part, $C(\sqrt{n})$ converges *stably* in the sense of Renyi; cf. Section 2. In particular, $C(\sqrt{n})$ converges in distribution to the probability measure

$$P(V \notin \Delta) \delta_0 + \sum_{v \in \Delta} P(V = v) \mathcal{N}(0, v - v^2),$$

where $\Delta = \{v : P(V = v) > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes the centered Gaussian law with variance σ^2 (with $\mathcal{N}(0, 0) = \delta_0$).

Finally, we make four brief remarks.

(i) To our knowledge, there is no general representation for the predictive distributions $P(Z_{n+1} \in \cdot | Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ of an exchangeable sequence (Z_n) . Such a representation would be very useful. Results like (2) and (2*) contribute to fill the gap for indicators. The general case, where the Z_n take values in an arbitrary measurable space, is dealt with in Subsection 4.2.

(ii) In Bayesian statistics, π is the *prior* distribution. And priors are typically assumed absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure (possibly, with smooth densities). The results mentioned above, thus, apply to most Bayesian problems.

(iii) Let $p > 1$ and $c > 0$. Those π which are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, with a density f such that $(\int_0^1 f(x)^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c$ (or such that

$f \leq c$), can be characterized via their moments

$$\int x^j \pi(dx) = EV^j = P(X_1 = \dots = X_j = 1).$$

This is the "Markov moment problem". We refer to [10] for more on this topic.

(iv) The results mentioned above straightforwardly extend to k -step predictions. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, $P(X_{n+1} = a_1, \dots, X_{n+k} = a_k \mid X_1, \dots, X_n)$ can be approximated by $\bar{X}_n^{\sum_i a_i} (1 - \bar{X}_n)^{k - \sum_i a_i}$ (where the possible indeterminate form 0^0 should be meant as $0^0 = 1$). Moreover, the error

$$\bar{X}_n^{\sum_i a_i} (1 - \bar{X}_n)^{k - \sum_i a_i} - P(X_{n+1} = a_1, \dots, X_{n+k} = a_k \mid X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

behaves asymptotically as $(\bar{X}_n - E(X_{n+1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_n))$; see Subsection 4.1.

2. STABLE CONVERGENCE

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space and S a metric space. We write \mathcal{B} for the Borel σ -field of S and $C_b(S)$ for the set of real bounded continuous functions on S . A *random probability measure on S* , defined on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) , is a mapping N on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}$ such that: (i) $N(\omega, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on \mathcal{B} for $\omega \in \Omega$; (ii) $N(\cdot, B)$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable for $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The real random variable $N(\omega, f) = \int f(x) N(\omega, dx)$, where f is a bounded \mathcal{B} -measurable function on S , is denoted by $N(f)$.

Let us turn to stable convergence. Let (Z_n) be a sequence of S -valued random variables and N a random probability measure on S . Both (Z_n) and N are defined on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . Say that Z_n *converges stably to N* in case

$$E(f(Z_n) \mid H) \rightarrow E(N(f) \mid H) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(S) \text{ and } H \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } P(H) > 0.$$

If $Z_n \rightarrow N$ stably, then Z_n converges in distribution to the probability measure $B \mapsto EN(B)$ on \mathcal{B} (just let $H = \Omega$). Stable convergence has been introduced by Renyi in [13] and subsequently investigated by various authors. A detailed treatment, including some strengthened forms of stable convergence, is in [8].

3. MAIN RESULTS

In the sequel, as in Section 1, $(X_n : n \geq 1)$ is an *exchangeable sequence of indicators* on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . We let

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V = \limsup_n \bar{X}_n, \quad \Delta = \{v : P(V = v) > 0\}.$$

Also,

$$\pi = P \circ V^{-1}$$

is the probability distribution of V , λ the Lebesgue measure on $(0, 1)$, and $\mathcal{N}(a, b)$ the Gaussian law with mean a and variance $b \geq 0$ (with $\mathcal{N}(a, 0) = \delta_a$).

We first investigate stable convergence of $E(f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n)$, where $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$W_n = W(\sqrt{n}) = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - V), \quad \mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

To this end, we begin with two introductory examples.

If (X_n) is i.i.d., then $V = v$ a.s. for some $v \in [0, 1]$ and a result of Renyi [13] yields $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - v) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, v - v^2)$ stably. Since $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - v)$ is \mathcal{G}_n -measurable,

$$E(f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) = f(W_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, v - v^2) \circ f^{-1} \quad \text{stably for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

Note that π is discrete in the i.i.d. case (in fact, $\pi = \delta_v$).

Suppose now that (X_n) is a *Polya sequence*, that is, $P(X_1 = 1) = \frac{u}{u+v}$ and

$$E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{u + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{u + v + n} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for some reals $u, v > 0$. When u, v are rationals, this probability assessment describes a well known urn scheme. In any case, π is a beta distribution with parameters u, v and

$$E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3)$$

Condition (3) has been first proved in Example 6 of [8] (with convergence in probability in the place of a.s. convergence) and then in Corollary 4.2 of [7].

One conjecture is that (3) holds whenever $\pi \ll \lambda$ (and not only in the Polya case). Provided this is true, further, the discrete and absolutely continuous cases could be unified. Next result realizes this programme.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$. If π does not have a singular continuous part, then $E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n)$ converges stably to the random probability measure*

$$M_f = I_{\{V \notin \Delta\}} \delta_{\mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(f)} + I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2) \circ f^{-1}.$$

Moreover, condition (3) holds whenever $\pi \ll \lambda$.

Proof. Let N denote the random probability measure $N = \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)$.

First, suppose $\pi \ll \lambda$. In order to prove (3), it can be assumed $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$, \mathcal{A} the Borel σ -field and X_n the canonical projections. In this case, (X_n) is a Polya sequence under some probability measure P_0 on \mathcal{A} . Let π_0 be the distribution of V under P_0 (recall that π_0 is a beta distribution). Since $\pi \ll \lambda$ and λ is equivalent to π_0 , then $\pi \ll \pi_0$ and de Finetti's representation theorem implies $P \ll P_0$. Thus,

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |P((X_{n+1}, \dots) \in A | \mathcal{G}_n) - P_0((X_{n+1}, \dots) \in A | \mathcal{G}_n)| \rightarrow 0, \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

by Blackwell-Dubins result on merging [6]. Given $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$U_n = |E_{P_0}(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n) - N(f)|, \quad V_n = |E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n) - E_{P_0}(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n)|.$$

By [7], since (X_n) is Polya under P_0 , then $U_n \rightarrow 0$, P_0 -a.s.. By Blackwell-Dubins result on merging, $V_n \rightarrow 0$, P -a.s.. Since $P \ll P_0$, one obtains

$$|E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n) - N(f)| \leq U_n + V_n \rightarrow 0, \quad P\text{-a.s..}$$

Thus, condition (3) holds whenever $\pi \ll \lambda$.

Next, suppose π does not have a singular continuous part. Fix $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $-1 \leq f \leq 1$, and let $A = \{V \in \Delta\}$. Since $W_n \rightarrow N$ stably (see [5], Theorem 3.1),

$$E(M_f(g) | A \cap H) = E(N(g \circ f) | A \cap H) = \lim_n E(g \circ f(W_n) | A \cap H)$$

provided $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P(A \cap H) > 0$. It follows that

$$I_A f(W_n) + I_{A^c} N(f) \rightarrow M_f \quad \text{stably.}$$

In order to prove $E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n) \rightarrow M_f$ stably, thus, it suffices showing that

$$E \left| E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n) - I_A f(W_n) - I_{A^c} N(f) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Write $\Delta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$. Since $|f| \leq 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| E(I_A f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_A f(W_n) \right| \\ &= E \left| \sum_j f(\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - v_j)) (P(V = v_j \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_{\{V=v_j\}}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_j E \left| P(V = v_j \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_{\{V=v_j\}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m E \left| P(V = v_j \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_{\{V=v_j\}} \right| + 2 \sum_{j>m} P(V = v_j) \quad \text{for all } m. \end{aligned}$$

By martingale convergence, $E|P(V = v_j \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_{\{V=v_j\}}| \rightarrow 0$ for fixed j , and thus

$$\limsup_n E \left| E(I_A f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_A f(W_n) \right| \leq 2 \limsup_m \sum_{j>m} P(V = v_j) = 0.$$

It remains to see that

$$E \left| E(I_{A^c} f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_{A^c} N(f) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

To this end, it can be assumed $P(A^c) > 0$. Denote $Q(\cdot) = P(\cdot \mid A^c)$. On noting that $|f| \leq 1$ and

$$E_Q(f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{E(I_{A^c} f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n)}{P(A^c \mid \mathcal{G}_n)}, \quad Q\text{-a.s.},$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| E(I_{A^c} f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - I_{A^c} N(f) \right| \\ &\leq E \left| I_A E(I_{A^c} f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \right| + E_Q \left| E(I_{A^c} f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - N(f) \right| \\ &\leq E(I_A P(A^c \mid \mathcal{G}_n)) + E_Q \left| P(A^c \mid \mathcal{G}_n) E_Q(f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - N(f) \right| \\ &\leq E(I_A P(A^c \mid \mathcal{G}_n)) + E_Q \left| P(A \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \right| + E_Q \left| E_Q(f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - N(f) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By martingale convergence,

$$E(I_A P(A^c \mid \mathcal{G}_n)) + E_Q \left| P(A \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \right| = E(I_A P(A^c \mid \mathcal{G}_n)) + \frac{E(I_{A^c} P(A \mid \mathcal{G}_n))}{P(A^c)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, since π does not have a singular continuous part, the distribution of V under Q is absolutely continuous with respect to λ . Also, (X_n) is still exchangeable under Q . Hence, the first part of this proof yields

$$E_Q \left| E_Q(f(W_n) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) - N(f) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Incidentally, the previous proof shows that condition (3) holds, even if (X_n) is not exchangeable, provided the law of (X_n) is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of a Polya sequence. For proving (3), in fact, we only used $P \ll P_0$.

Theorem 1 also sheds light on the rate of convergence of $\{\bar{X}_n - E(X_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{G}_n)\}$, which is our main purpose. Recall that $E(X_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{G}_n) = E(V \mid \mathcal{G}_n)$ a.s. and define

$$C_n = C(\sqrt{n}) = \sqrt{n} \{ \bar{X}_n - E(X_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \} = E(W_n \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Theorem 2. *If π does not have a singular continuous part, then C_n converges stably to the random probability measure*

$$M = I_{\{V \notin \Delta\}} \delta_0 + I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2).$$

Moreover $E|C_n|^r \rightarrow 0$, for all $r > 0$, whenever $\pi \ll \lambda$.

The following lemma, needed for proving Theorem 2, is certainly known. Since we do not know of any reference, however, we give it a proof.

Lemma 3. *Let (Y_n) be a sequence of real i.i.d. random variables on a common probability space, with $EY_1^{2k} < \infty$ and $EY_1 = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then,*

$$\sup_n E \left\{ \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2k} \right\} \leq \gamma_k EY_1^{2k}$$

for some constant γ_k depending on k only.

Proof. Let $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Then, S_n is a martingale with quadratic variation $[S]_0 = 0$ and $[S]_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (S_i - S_{i-1})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2$. By the well known Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, there is a universal constant γ_k such that $E(\max_{0 \leq j \leq n} S_j^{2k}) \leq \gamma_k E([S]_n^k)$. For such a γ_k and any integer n , one obtains

$$E \left\{ \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2k} \right\} \leq \frac{\gamma_k}{n^k} E \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 \right)^k \right\} = \frac{\gamma_k}{n^k} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^n E(Y_{i_1}^2 \dots Y_{i_k}^2) \leq \gamma_k EY_1^{2k}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{T} denote the tail σ -field of (X_n) . By exchangeability of (X_n) and Lemma 3, for each integer $k \geq 1$ there is a constant γ_k satisfying

$$\sup_n EW_n^{2k} = \sup_n E(E(W_n^{2k} | \mathcal{T})) \leq \gamma_k E(E(X_1^{2k} | \mathcal{T})) = \gamma_k EX_1^{2k} < \infty.$$

Further, $EC_n^{2k} \leq EW_n^{2k}$ since $C_n = E(W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)$ a.s.. Hence, both the sequences $(|W_n|^r)$ and $(|C_n|^r)$ are uniformly integrable for all real $r > 0$.

Next, suppose π does not have a singular continuous part. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $|g(x) - g(y)| \leq |x - y|$ and $|g(x)| \leq 1$ for all x, y , and let $H \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P(H) > 0$. To prove $C_n \rightarrow M$ stably, it is enough to see that $E(g(C_n) | H) \rightarrow E(g(M) | H)$. Since (W_n) is uniformly integrable, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n E(|W_n| I_{\{|W_n| > c\}}) < \frac{\epsilon P(H)}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad c^2 > \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

Define $f(x) = x$ for $|x| \leq c$, $f(x) = c$ for $x > c$, and $f(x) = -c$ for $x < -c$, and let $U_n = E(f(W_n) | \mathcal{G}_n)$. Since g is Lipschitz continuous and $C_n = E(W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)$ a.s.,

$$|g(C_n) - g(U_n)| \leq |C_n - U_n| \leq 2E(|W_n| I_{\{|W_n| > c\}} | \mathcal{G}_n) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

and this implies

$$E(|g(C_n) - g(U_n)| | H) \leq \frac{2}{P(H)} E(|W_n| I_{\{|W_n| > c\}}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(f) = 0$, then $M_f = \delta_0 = M$ on $\{V \notin \Delta\}$, where M_f is the random probability measure appearing in Theorem 1. Further, since $|g| \leq 1$,

$0 \leq V \leq 1$ and $c^2 > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(g \circ f) - \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(g)| &\leq \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(|g \circ f - g|) \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(\{x : |x| > c\}) \leq \frac{2(V - V^2)}{c^2} \leq \frac{2}{c^2} \frac{1}{4} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

To sum up, one can estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &|E(g(C_n) | H) - E(M(g) | H)| - |E(g(U_n) | H) - E(M_f(g) | H)| \\ &\leq |E(g(C_n) | H) - E(g(U_n) | H)| + |E(M_f(g) | H) - E(M(g) | H)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + E(I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} |M_f(g) - M(g)| | H) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + E(I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} |\mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(g \circ f) - \mathcal{N}(0, V - V^2)(g)| | H) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} P(V \in \Delta | H) \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since Theorem 1 yields $E(g(U_n) | H) \rightarrow E(M_f(g) | H)$, one obtains

$$\limsup_n |E(g(C_n) | H) - E(M(g) | H)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $C_n \rightarrow M$ stably. In particular, if $\pi \ll \lambda$, then $C_n \rightarrow M = \delta_0$ stably, that is, $C_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$. Hence $E|C_n|^r \rightarrow 0$, because of uniform integrability of $(|C_n|^r)$, for all $r > 0$. This concludes the proof. \square

At least two remarks on Theorem 2 are in order.

First, if π has a singular continuous part, we suspect that C_n converges stably to a non null limit. But we have not a proof.

Second,

$$\sqrt{n} C_n = n \{ \bar{X}_n - E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{G}_n) \}$$

converges a.s. in case (X_n) is a Polya sequence. A conjecture is that $\sqrt{n} C_n$ converges a.s. whenever $\pi \ll \lambda$. This is actually true, as we now prove, under some conditions on the density. Say that a real function f on $(0, 1)$ is *almost Lipschitz* in case $x \mapsto f(x)x^a(1-x)^b$ is Lipschitz on $(0, 1)$ for some reals $a, b < 1$.

Theorem 4. *If π admits an almost Lipschitz density with respect to λ , then $\sqrt{n} C_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} D$ for some real random variable D .*

A few technical facts, needed for proving Theorem 4, are collected in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$, \mathcal{A} the Borel σ -field on Ω and X_n the canonical projections. Let P_0 be the probability on \mathcal{A} which makes (X_n) a Polya sequence (for some $u, v > 0$). If $\pi \ll \lambda$, there is a nonnegative Borel function h on $[0, 1]$ such that $h(V)$ is a density of P with respect to P_0 . Moreover,*

$$P(V \in B) = c \int_B h(x) x^{u-1} (1-x)^{v-1} dx$$

for each Borel set $B \subset [0, 1]$, where $c > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : P_0(A) = 0\}$ and \mathcal{S} the symmetric σ -field on $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$. Since $\pi \ll \lambda$, then $P \ll P_0$. Fix a version f of $\frac{dP}{dP_0}$ and a finite permutation ϕ of

Ω . Let φ be the finite permutation such that $\varphi \circ \phi(\omega) = \omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. By exchangeability of both P and P_0 , one obtains

$$\int_A f dP_0 = P(A) = P(\varphi^{-1}A) = \int (I_A \circ \varphi) f dP_0 = \int_A (f \circ \phi) dP_0$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\{f \neq f \circ \phi\} \in \mathcal{N}_0$. Since finite permutations are countably many, there is a nonnegative \mathcal{S} -measurable function f_1 on Ω satisfying $\{f \neq f_1\} \in \mathcal{N}_0$. Since f_1 is \mathcal{S} -measurable and P_0 exchangeable,

$$\sigma(f_1) \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \sigma(\sigma(V) \cup \mathcal{N}_0).$$

Hence, $\{f_1 \neq f_2\} \in \mathcal{N}_0$ for some nonnegative $\sigma(V)$ -measurable function f_2 on Ω . Such f_2 is a version of $\frac{dP}{dP_0}$ and $f_2 = h(V)$ for some nonnegative Borel function h . Finally, it suffices noting that the distribution of V under P_0 is beta with parameters u, v . \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Since $\sqrt{n}C_n$ is a \mathcal{G}_n -martingale, it suffices proving that $\sup_n \sqrt{n} E|C_n| < \infty$. To this end, it can be assumed $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$, \mathcal{A} the Borel σ -field and X_n the canonical projections.

Since π has an almost Lipschitz density, there is a version g of $\frac{d\pi}{d\lambda}$ such that $x \mapsto g(x)x^a(1-x)^b$ is Lipschitz on $(0, 1)$ for some $a, b < 1$. Let P_0 be the probability on \mathcal{A} which makes (X_n) a Polya sequence with $u = 1 - a$ and $v = 1 - b$. By Lemma 5, some version of $\frac{dP}{dP_0}$ is of the form $h(V)$ where h is a nonnegative Lipschitz function on $(0, 1)$.

Using such version, C_n can be written as

$$C_n = E(W_n | \mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{E_0(h(V)W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)}{E_0(h(V) | \mathcal{G}_n)}, \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

where E_0 denotes expectation under P_0 . Thus,

$$E|C_n| = E_0 \left\{ h(V) \frac{|E_0(h(V)W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)|}{E_0(h(V) | \mathcal{G}_n)} \right\} = E_0 |E_0(h(V)W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)|.$$

Let

$$V_n = E_0(V | \mathcal{G}_n) = E_0(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{u + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{u + v + n}.$$

Then, $\sqrt{n} |E_0(W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)| = n |\bar{X}_n - V_n| \leq u + v$, P_0 -a.s.. Since h is Lipschitz (and thus bounded) on $(0, 1)$ and $P_0(0 < V_n < 1, 0 < V < 1) = 1$ for all n , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E|C_n| &\leq E_0 |h(V_n) E_0(W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)| + E_0 |E_0((h(V) - h(V_n))W_n | \mathcal{G}_n)| \\ &\leq \frac{(u+v) \sup h}{\sqrt{n}} + c E_0 \{ E_0(|(V - V_n)W_n| | \mathcal{G}_n) \} \end{aligned}$$

where c is the Lipschitz constant of h . Letting $U_n = \sqrt{n}(V - V_n)$, one also obtains $\sqrt{n} E|C_n| \leq (u+v) \sup h + c E_0 \{ E_0(|U_n W_n| | \mathcal{G}_n) \} = (u+v) \sup h + c E_0 |U_n W_n|$.

As noted in the proof of Theorem 2, $E_0 C_n^2 \leq E_0 W_n^2 \leq d$ for all n and some constant d . Since $U_n = C_n - W_n$, it follows that $E_0 U_n^2 \leq 2(E_0 C_n^2 + E_0 W_n^2) \leq 4d$ and

$$\sqrt{n} E|C_n| \leq (u+v) \sup h + c \sqrt{E_0 U_n^2 E_0 W_n^2} \leq (u+v) \sup h + 2cd$$

for all n . This concludes the proof. \square

4. MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

The results obtained so far admit some generalizations.

4.1. **k -step predictions.** Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $a = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$. Then,

$$P(X_{n+1} = a_1, \dots, X_{n+k} = a_k \mid \mathcal{G}_n) = E(V^a (1-V)^{k-a} \mid \mathcal{G}_n)$$

is well approximated by $\bar{X}_n^a (1 - \bar{X}_n)^{k-a}$ (where the possible indeterminate form 0^0 is meant as $0^0 = 1$). In addition, the asymptotic behaviour of

$$T_n = \sqrt{n} \{ \bar{X}_n^a (1 - \bar{X}_n)^{k-a} - E(V^a (1-V)^{k-a} \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \}$$

is quite similar to that of C_n .

Corollary 6. *If π does not have a singular continuous part, then T_n converges stably to the random probability measure*

$$M(\sigma^2) = I_{\{V \notin \Delta\}} \delta_0 + I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(0, I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} \sigma^2),$$

where $\sigma^2 = k^2 V^{2k-1} (1-V)$ if $a = k$, $\sigma^2 = k^2 V (1-V)^{2k-1}$ if $a = 0$, and

$$\sigma^2 = (a - kV)^2 V^{2a-1} (1-V)^{2(k-a)-1} \quad \text{if } 0 < a < k.$$

In particular, $T_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ in case $\pi \ll \lambda$.

Proof. Letting $f(x) = x^a (1-x)^{k-a}$, Lagrange theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \sqrt{n} E(f(\bar{X}_n) - f(V) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) = \sqrt{n} E(f'(V_n) (\bar{X}_n - V) \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \\ &= f'(\bar{X}_n) E(W_n \mid \mathcal{G}_n) + E((f'(V_n) - f'(\bar{X}_n)) W_n \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

where V_n is between \bar{X}_n and V . Let $M = \mathcal{N}(0, I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} V(1-V))$. Since $C_n \rightarrow M$ stably (by Theorem 2), f' is continuous and $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} V$, one obtains

$$f'(\bar{X}_n) E(W_n \mid \mathcal{G}_n) = f'(\bar{X}_n) C_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, I_{\{V \in \Delta\}} f'(V)^2 V(1-V)) = M(\sigma^2) \quad \text{stably.}$$

Thus, it remains only to see that $E((f'(V_n) - f'(\bar{X}_n)) W_n \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$. Let $R_n = f'(V_n) - f'(\bar{X}_n)$. Then, $R_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ and $R_n^2 \leq 4 \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} f'(x)^2$ for all n . Since $\sup_n E W_n^2 < \infty$, it follows that

$$E|E(R_n W_n \mid \mathcal{G}_n)| \leq E|R_n W_n| \leq \sqrt{E W_n^2 E R_n^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

4.2. **General state space.** Let (Z_n) be an exchangeable sequence of random variables, defined on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) and taking values in the measurable space (S, \mathcal{B}) . Let $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}$ denote the empirical measure and

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(Z_1, \dots, Z_n).$$

Given $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let us consider

$$C_n^* = \sqrt{n} \{ \mu_n(B) - E(I_{\{Z_{n+1} \in B\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \}.$$

After Section 3, we know something about $\sqrt{n} \{ \mu_n(B) - E(I_{\{Z_{n+1} \in B\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_n^B) \}$ where $\mathcal{G}_n^B = \sigma(I_{\{Z_1 \in B\}}, \dots, I_{\{Z_n \in B\}})$. But this is not enough for C_n^* , since the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\sqrt{n} \{ E(I_{\{Z_{n+1} \in B\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_n^B) - E(I_{\{Z_{n+1} \in B\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_n) \}$$

is unknown (to us). The arguments of Section 3, however, give some help.

Let $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots)$ and ν a probability measure on \mathcal{B}^∞ such that

$$C_n^* \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{whenever } Z \sim \nu. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 7. *Suppose $P(Z \in \cdot) \ll \nu$, where $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots)$ and ν is a probability on \mathcal{B}^∞ satisfying (4). Then, $E|C_n^*|^r \rightarrow 0$ for all $r > 0$. In particular,*

$$E(I_{\{Z_{n+1} \in B\}} | \mathcal{G}_n) = \mu_n(B) + o_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

To avoid repetitions, we just give a sketch of the proof.

Proof of Theorem 7. Define $V_B = \limsup_n \mu_n(B)$, $W_n^* = \sqrt{n}(\mu_n(B) - V_B)$ and note that $C_n^* = E(W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)$ a.s.. As in the proof of Theorem 2, the sequences $(|W_n^*|^r)$ and $(|C_n^*|^r)$ can be shown to be uniformly integrable for all $r > 0$. Thus, it suffices proving that $E|C_n^*| \rightarrow 0$. It can be assumed $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}) = (S^\infty, \mathcal{B}^\infty)$ and Z_n the canonical projections. Let $P_0 = \nu$ and f a version of $\frac{dP}{dP_0}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4, $E|C_n^*| = E_0|E_0(f W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)|$ where E_0 denotes expectation under P_0 . Since (W_n^*) is uniformly integrable, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $c > 0$ such that

$$E_0|E_0(f I_{\{f > c\}} W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)| \leq E_0(f I_{\{f > c\}} | W_n^*) = E(I_{\{f > c\}} | W_n^*) < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Using such c , define $U_n = f I_{\{f \leq c\}} - E_0(f I_{\{f \leq c\}} | \mathcal{G}_n)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E|C_n^*| &< \epsilon + E_0|E_0(f I_{\{f \leq c\}} W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)| \\ &\leq \epsilon + E_0|E_0(f I_{\{f \leq c\}} | \mathcal{G}_n) E_0(W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)| + E_0|E_0(U_n W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)| \\ &\leq \epsilon + c E_0|E_0(W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)| + \sqrt{E_0 U_n^2 E_0 W_n^{*2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4), $E_0(W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n) \xrightarrow{P_0} 0$. Since the sequence $(E_0(W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n))$ is uniformly integrable under P_0 , then $E_0|E_0(W_n^* | \mathcal{G}_n)| \rightarrow 0$. Thus, to conclude the proof, it suffices noting that $\sup_n E_0 W_n^{*2} < \infty$ and

$$\lim_n E_0 U_n^2 = \lim_n E_0 \left\{ (f I_{\{f \leq c\}} - E_0(f I_{\{f \leq c\}} | \mathcal{G}_n))^2 \right\} = 0$$

by martingale convergence. \square

Various examples of ν satisfying (4) are available in the *Bayesian nonparametrics* framework; see e.g. [12] and references therein. One of the most popular is the law of a Ferguson-Dirichlet sequence. If Z is such a sequence,

$$E(I_{\{Z_{n+1} \in B\}} | \mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{a P(Z_1 \in B) + n \mu_n(B)}{a + n} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for some $a > 0$, and thus $|C_n^*| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}$. Note that Ferguson-Dirichlet sequences reduce to Polya's for $S = \{0, 1\}$.

Let ν denote the law of a Ferguson-Dirichlet sequence. Characterizing those Z such that $P(Z \in \cdot) \ll \nu$ is quite easy in case S is finite (and \mathcal{B} the power set of S). Suppose in fact $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}\}$ and $P(Z_1 = x) > 0$ for all $x \in S$. Define $V_x = \limsup_n \mu_n\{x\}$. Then, $P(Z \in \cdot) \ll \nu$ if and only if $(V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_k})$ has an absolutely continuous distribution, with respect to Lebesgue measure, on the set $\{(u_1, \dots, u_k) : u_i > 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k u_i < 1\}$. Note that, in case of indicators ($S = \{0, 1\}$ and $0 < P(Z_1 = 1) < 1$), one obtains $P(Z \in \cdot) \ll \nu$ if and only if $V = V_1$ has an absolutely continuous distribution with respect to Lebesgue measure on $(0, 1)$.

For general state spaces, instead, we do not know of reasonably simple characterizations of $P(Z \in \cdot) \ll \nu$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Algoet P.H. (1992) Universal schemes for prediction, gambling and portfolio selection, *Ann. Probab.*, 20, 901-941.
- [2] Algoet P.H. (1995) Universal prediction schemes (Correction), *Ann. Probab.*, 23, 474-478.
- [3] Berti P. and Rigo P. (2002) A uniform limit theorem for predictive distributions, *Statist. Probab. Letters*, 56, 113-120.
- [4] Berti P., Mattei A. and Rigo P. (2002) Uniform convergence of empirical and predictive measures, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, L, 465-477.
- [5] Berti P., Pratelli L. and Rigo P. (2004) Limit theorems for a class of identically distributed random variables, *Ann. Probab.*, 32, 2029-2052.
- [6] Blackwell D. and Dubins L.E.(1962) Merging of opinions with increasing information, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 33, 882-886.
- [7] Crimaldi I. (2007) Almost sure conditional convergence for a generalized Polya urn, *submitted*, currently available at: <http://www.dm.unibo.it/~crimaldi/articoli-inviati.html>
- [8] Crimaldi I., Letta G. and Pratelli L. (2007) A strong form of stable convergence, *Seminaire de Probabilites XL*, Lect. Notes in Math., 1899, 203-225.
- [9] de Finetti B. (1937) La prevision: ses lois logiques, ses sources subjectives, *Annales Institut. Poincare*, 7, 1-68.
- [10] Diaconis P. and Freedman D. (2004) The Markov moment problem and de Finetti's theorem, Parts I and II, *Math. Zeitschrift*, 247, 183-199 and 201-212.
- [11] Morvai G. and Weiss B. (2005) Forward estimation for ergodic time series, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist.*, 41, 859-870.
- [12] Pitman J. (1996) Some developments of the Blackwell-MacQueen urn scheme, In: *Statistics, Probability and Game Theory* (Ferguson, Shapley and MacQueen Eds.), IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser., 30, 245-267.
- [13] Renyi A. (1963) On stable sequences of events, *Sankhya A*, 25, 293-302.

PATRIZIA BERTI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA "G. VITALI", UNIVERSITA' DI MODENA E REGGIO-EMILIA, VIA CAMPI 213/B, 41100 MODENA, ITALY
E-mail address: patrizia.berti@unimore.it

IRENE CRIMALDI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITA' DI BOLOGNA, PIAZZA DI PORTA SAN DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY
E-mail address: crimaldi@dm.unibo.it

LUCA PRATELLI, ACCADEMIA NAVALE, VIALE ITALIA 72, 57100 LIVORNO, ITALY
E-mail address: pratel@mail.dm.unipi.it

PIETRO RIGO (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR), DIPARTIMENTO DI ECONOMIA POLITICA E METODI QUANTITATIVI, UNIVERSITA' DI PAVIA, VIA S. FELICE 5, 27100 PAVIA, ITALY
E-mail address: prigo@eco.unipv.it